


Defeat Britain's Terror War Against the U.S.A.!



Including
**Oklahoma
Was an Act of
International
Terrorism**

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

THE NEW FEDERALIST
\$1

Defeat Britain's Terror War Against the United States!

America is in a state of defacto war. Since this war began at the beginning of 1993, there have been hundreds of casualties; thousands of Americans have been injured; and the highest elected official of the land has been a target of enemy fire.

The enemy is to be found not in Moscow, nor in Beijing, nor even in Teheran. The United States is at war with its historic adversary, the British crown. To be more precise, the United States is at war with the House of Windsor and the Windsor-led Club of the Isles.

As the weekly news magazine *Executive Intelligence Review* documented last November, the Club of the Isles is a 150-year-old informal club representing the combined financial, political, intelligence, and military resources of the British, Dutch, and other European royal houses, princely families, and their loyal servants. These oligarchs remain committed today, as they were at the height of their power in the 19th century, to the destruction of the sovereign nation-state and the imposition of a global dictatorship under their boot.

The combined financial power of the Club is about \$10 trillion, with most of the wealth concentrated in strategic raw materials, offshore banking, insurance, petroleum, and the illegal narcotics cartels. Companies under the Club's umbrella include Royal Dutch Shell, British Petroleum, Lloyds of London, the Anglo-American Corporation, DeBeers, the Hollinger Corporation, S.G.

© June 1995

This pamphlet was researched and written by Jeffrey Steinberg, Scott Thompson, Kathleen Klenetsky, and Anton Chaitkin.

Cover photograph: Stuart Lewis

for more information, contact the publisher:

The New Federalist, P.O. Box 889, Leesburg, Va 22075
703-777-9451

NFP 95-502

Warburg, N.M. Rothschild, and Imperial Chemical Industries.

Many people foolishly believe that the sun set long ago on the British Empire, and that the Queen of England is just a quaint tourist attraction. Nothing could be further from the truth. For the past several decades, the defacto "chairman of the board" of the Club of the Isles has been Queen Elizabeth II, and its "chief operations officer" her consort, Prince Philip Mountbatten. As a former official of the World Wildlife Fund (WWF), Prince Philip also sits on top of the vast irregular warfare capability known as the international environmentalist movement.

This powerful London-centered apparatus that declared war on the United States immediately after the inauguration of President Clinton. The British knew that Clinton was cut from a different cloth than his pro-British predecessors, Ronald Reagan and George Bush. Whatever weaknesses President Clinton may have, he came into the White House with a healthy distrust of the British oligarchy. His Georgetown University exposure to Dr. Carroll Quigley, a leading historian of the 20th century degeneration of the British elites, shaped this political outlook.

Since taking office, Clinton has broken with British-Club of the Isles geopolitical policies on a number of fronts: Northern Ireland, the Balkans, the Middle East peace process, the International Monetary Fund's radical shock therapy policies, and Britain's design to break apart China following the death of Deng Xiaoping. Last July, when the President travelled to Berlin to declare a "new strategic partnership" with Germany to help rebuild Central Europe and Russia after decades of communism, the British media screamed: "Clinton has broken the Anglo-American special relationship!"

At this moment, the world financial system is on the brink of the biggest blowout in 600 years. The Club of the Isles is painfully aware that under conditions of a global financial crisis, they cannot count on Clinton to bail out their bankrupt financial empire. Since the day of his inau-

guration, they have been committed to the destruction of the Clinton presidency.

The British attacks on the presidency have escalated in three phases:

1. Beginning in December 1993, the London-based Hollinger Corporation media conglomerate, which counts Margaret Thatcher, Henry Kissinger, Lord Carrington, and Lord Rothschild among its editorial advisers, launched a slander campaign against the President. "Troopergate," "Whitewatergate," and all of the other White House scandals of the past several years can be traced back to the Hollinger Corporation, which counts the London Telegraph, the Jerusalem Post and the Chicago Sun-Times among its flagship papers. Hollinger was a creation of the World War II British Special Operations Executive (SOE), the branch of British intelligence in charge of operations targeting North America.

Through its Washington-based Clinton-basher, Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, the Hollinger Corp. has established control over a vast network of nominally American media outlets, including *The American Spectator*, TV and radio personality Rush Limbaugh, the Reverend Jerry Falwell, Pat Robertson, and the Richard Mellon-Scaife newspapers. Ted Turner's CNN has also been a tool of the Club in its Clinton-bashing efforts.

Working with Evans-Pritchard is Lord William Rees-Mogg, a member of the House of Lords who has at one time or another been a top executive of most of Britain's major propaganda organs, including the *Financial Times*, British Broadcasting Corporation, and, currently, *The Times of London*. Rees-Mogg also copublished *Strategic Investment*, a monthly newsletter that circulates widely among American populists, and serves as an important propaganda outlet for the Club of the Isles.

For months, Evans-Pritchard and Lord Rees-Mogg filled the pages of the British press with optimistic forecasts of the early demise of the Clinton presidency, under a cloud of scandals and indictments from the Whitewater special prosecutor.

2. When these dire forecasts failed to materialize, the same Hollinger-centered apparatus began subtly agitating for physical assaults on the President.

On May 11, 1994, Larry Nichols, a former employee of the George Bush/Ollie North Contra gun-and-drug-trafficking operation, appeared at a gathering of the Boulder Patriots in Colorado, brandishing a gun and vowing to have a "high noon showdown" with President Clinton. Nichols, a former Arkansas state employee, had been fired by then-Governor Clinton after he was caught using state resources on behalf of the Contras. Nichols was tied to the Mena, Arkansas gun-drug smuggling operations which were run by North, on behalf of Vice President Bush.

Nichols was exposed as a fraud, and disappeared from sight until he was picked up by Ambrose Evans-Pritchard at the beginning of 1994. Following a series of puff pieces by Evans-Pritchard in the Sunday Telegraph, Nichols was

picked up by Limbaugh, Falwell, Robertson, and others.

As the tempo of British-scripted slanders against the President escalated, so did the pattern of violent attacks against the President.

Since Nichols's road show began in the spring of 1994, there have been dozens of physical attacks and threats against the First Family and the White House. Last fall, the White House was shot at on at least two occasions, a plane crashed right underneath the Clinton's living quarters in the West Wing, and several attempts to break into the White House grounds or stalk the President were foiled by Secret Service agents.

In response to this dangerous assault on the presidency, *New Federalist* published a documentary report, "Why the British Kill American Presidents," reviewing the evidence that at least four American Presidents—Abraham Lincoln, James Garfield, William McKinley, and John F. Kennedy—were assassinated by the British when they challenged Britain's global imperial designs. The nationwide circulation of that report hopefully contributed to a temporary abating of physical threats against the First Family.

Strategy of Tension

From the outset of the Clinton administration, the British have been running a classic "strategy of tension" against the United States, aimed at sowing fear and chaos, and, ultimately creating favorable conditions for the elimination of the Clinton presidency.

There is no "smoking gun" proving that the British were responsible for the World Trade Center bombing of February 1993, or the more recent tragic April 19, 1995 bombing of the federal building in Oklahoma City, which killed 168 people. But circumstantial evidence places the British at the top of the suspects' list.

3. The Oklahoma City bombing marked a new escalation in the "strategy of tension" against the Clinton presidency. Leading up to the bombing, Evans-Pritchard, Rees-Mogg, and their cohorts were "predicting" a "long hot summer" of urban and rural violence, which they attributed in advance to purported popular hatred of the President and his policies. Just as the race riots and New Left violence of the late 1960s created a climate in which Martin Luther King, Jr., Robert F. Kennedy, and even George Wallace could be targeted for assassination in rapid succession, it appears that these Club of the Isles propagandists have in mind the same kind of chaos and violence today.

The pages that follow contain vital information about the recent Oklahoma bombing that you will not see on the nightly news or read in the major daily newspapers. This is an interim report. There are many unanswered questions. But the seriousness of the situation does not allow for delay. Britain's terror war against the United States must be defeated!

Leesburg, Virginia
June 10, 1995

Oklahoma City Was An Act of International Terrorism

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

The following was written on May 18.

Virtually identical studies reported to this news service by three authoritative sources, indicate, that the mass-murderous blast which destroyed the Oklahoma City Federal building, on April 19, 1995, was not simply a large "fertilizer bomb," but a shaped charge designed and placed with a degree of sophistication beyond the capability of any of the suspects touted by the British major news media and their "American cousins." Those engineering studies of the effects of the blast upon the building's structure, indicate that the agency which prepared and designed the shaped charge and its placement were at a level above ordinary Special Forces training, the level of military intelligence specialists, or higher.

That report is consistent with this news service's own acquired expertise in investigating international terrorist incidents, during the course of the 1970s and 1980s. Frequently, the famous terrorist groups featured in the news media's reports were either nonexistent, or were only a screen behind which professional intelligence agencies operated.

In our 1970s experience, that was the case in Wilfrid Boese's predicted role in the "Entebbe" hijacking. That was also the case in the 1978 kidnap-assassination of Italy's former Prime Minister, and Henry Kissinger adversary, Aldo Moro. That has been the case in our continuing investigation of the Feb. 28, 1986 assassination of Sweden's Prime Minister Olof Palme, which worked conspicuously to the benefit of the Iran-Contra international weapons-traffickers of the 1985-86 period. That was the case, to the advantage of the policies of the government of Britain's Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher, in the Nov. 29, 1989 assassination of Deutsche Bank chief Alfred Herrhausen; in his case, and that of the murder of Detlev Rohwedder (the head of the Treuhand agency responsible for East German state industries in the newly reunified Germany), the news media insisted, without a shred of evidence, that Herrhausen was assassinated by a no-longer-existent Baader-Meinhof Gang.

In the present case, the Oklahoma mass-murder, it is not this newsweekly's function, to suggest either the complicit,

or innocence of any among the putative suspects detained or sought for questioning; that, we leave to the relevant authorities to discover. Our concern is that we undo some of the damage wrought by another "usual list of suspected perpetrators," the leading, usually lying, popular news-media of the U.S.A. and western Europe.

In virtually every case of international terrorism we investigated during the 1970s and 1980s, large portions of the international news-media played an indispensable part in bringing about the relative political success of the crime. The culpable complicity of that news media, today, in the Oklahoma case, as earlier, whether through folly or culpability, is centered in spreading the myth that terrorism is to be explained away as "a sociological phenomenon."

We ask the reader to attempt to look at the Oklahoma bombing, for a moment, through the eyes of a law-enforcement investigator.

Suppose you were such a detective, and your assignment were to assist in the apprehension and conviction of a presently unknown perpetrator who killed several bystanders during his commission of attempted armed robbery of a convenience store. On the basis of that information, what are you seeking: a suspected killer, or a suspect in an armed robbery? Until evidence impels you to consider a different construction of the case, you will be tracking armed-robbery suspects.

Similarly, in the Oklahoma case, what you would be investigating, is not a matter to be investigated as simply a "bombing." It is a case in which all of the principal leads indicate that the bombing was done in the course of execution of a distinctly different quality of crime: *an act of international terrorism*. The most important clues you have been given so far, are three. The first is the scientific experts' information that this was a sophisticated bombing, beyond the capabilities of the kinds of ex-military found among the "militias." The second is the involvement of a top-ranking member of the British oligarchy, Lord William Rees-Mogg, both in pre-orchestrating the political environment in which the bombing occurred, and in a malicious attempt to exploit the mass-murderous incident, against the President of the United States, after the bombing had occurred. The third is massive



Onlookers come for a final look at the bombed-out Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City, on May 22, the day before the building was imploded by the authorities.

AFP/Doug Collier

documentation that the strata to which Lord Rees-Mogg has pointed as his suggested list of suspects for the bombing, have been, like Rush Limbaugh and Rev. Jerry Falwell, for nearly two years the open tools of the British establishment in its attempt to destroy President Bill Clinton.

Those three pieces of evidence are unique to this case, of course; but, they represent a pattern of evidence which fits only one known classification of crime: *a case of international terrorism.*

Of What Is Lord Rees-Mogg Guilty?

The conduct of Lord William Rees-Mogg, both before and after the Oklahoma terrorist bombing, expresses what the Nineteenth Century would have regarded as a virtual state of imminent general warfare between the British monarchy and the President of the United States.

There is no real mystery as to the issues of this conflict; as avowed British foreign-service agent Henry A. Kissinger seems never to tire of explaining: The issues of the wartime conflict between Prime Minister Winston Churchill and President Franklin Roosevelt are the continuing source of chronic, occasionally mortal, quarrels between London and the United States since the U.S. War of Independence. Those are the issues between Lord Rees-Mogg and Kissinger, on the one side, today, and President Clinton and his supporters, on the other side.

Today, as in every time of open quarrels between the United States and Britain, since 1776, London relies largely upon its base of anti-U.S.A. operations in nearby Canada, and those U.S. citizens who walk in the footsteps of the British intelli-

gence service's Tory assets of 1776-83, and of the treasonous "Hartford Convention" of 1814. Today, the broadest political mass base of Britain's organized anti-Clinton collaborators inside the U.S.A., is located in a network of British intelligence operations deployed under the cover of the Mont Pelerin Society and such Mont Pelerin Society offshoots as the Washington, D.C.-based Heritage Foundation, the National Taxpayer's Union of Rees-Mogg and James Dale Davidson, and the London-steered *American Spectator* magazine. This network, directed under the cover of Britain's Mont

Pelerin Society, is recognized as the radical "neo-conservatives" assembled around Speaker of the House Newt(on) Gingrich's "Contract with America," Presidential candidates Phil Gramm, and would-be "kingmaker" George Bush's current favorite candidate, California's Pete Wilson. The relevant "neo-conservative" talk-show hosts, such as Rush Limbaugh, and their network of native populists turned anti-Clinton fanatics, are the street-level assets of the London-coordinated "neo-conservative" network.

The British public figures most visibly involved in directing the U.S. neo-conservatives and their populist fellow-travellers against the U.S. Constitution, as well as the Clinton Presidency, include Lord William Rees-Mogg; Canada-based press baron Conrad Black's Washington-based correspondent Ambrose Evans-Pritchard; Sir Peregrine Worsthorne, the politically ever-faithful son of the British banker who ordered that his protégé Adolf Hitler be brought to power in 1933 Germany; and the weekly *American Spectator* rag. As *EIR* has documented these facts, the common aims of these British controllers of the U.S. "neo-conservative" ferment, are the destruction of the U.S. Federal Constitution, the permanent destruction of the United States as an agro-industrial nation-state, and the revival of the pre-1865 British plan for dismemberment of the United States itself into a divided pack of squabbling petty tyrannies, perpetually at war with one another.

The reason for the mouth-foaming vehemence of London's bitter hatred against President Clinton is not far to find. The reason is found in the presently accelerating, and inevitable collapse of that worldwide, International Monetary Fund (IMF)-centered monetary system on which

How British Propagandists

the British financier oligarchy bases what are often called, euphemistically, Britain's "invisible earnings." Symptomatically, two of the British royal family's financially key banking representatives, were put up for auction: Barings and the London Warburg bank. Of more profound significance for the British oligarchy at large, is the ongoing liquidation of Lloyd's of London. The entire global monetary and financial system on which the British oligarchy's power depends, is doomed for early destruction, and this thought has lately reached the mind's eye of the leading British oligarchs with startlingly painful brightness.

Ignore those Wall Street Yuppie nitwits who dream that they can blow the "derivatives" bubble forever. The end of the present global monetary and financial system is very near. There are only two alternatives. Either leading governments, including that of the United States, act together to put the bankrupt, present IMF system into financial-bankruptcy reorganization, and create a new system of national banking to replace it, or the whole shebang goes beyond a mere general financial collapse, into the kind of chaos which struck occupied Weimar Germany during the 1922-23 interval. The London oligarchy would much prefer the chaos, hoping that they might regain their global influence and power in that state of chaos.

With former Prime Minister Thatcher's lackey, George Bush, or "weak-minded neo-conservatives" such as Phil Gramm and Pete Wilson, as President, the London oligarchy would have little to fear from the virtually decapitated hulk of these United States. President Clinton worries them; he might be the U.S. President who finished the incompleting work of President George Washington, and ended the British financier oligarchy's rule of the world forever.

Under these strategic circumstances, its former financial power almost destroyed, the British oligarchy continues financial warfare against the United States, as Clausewitz might have put the point, "by other means." Thus, we have entered a period of escalating international terrorism on a previously unknown scale and intensity. Whatever military-intelligence-type capability is discovered to have been used to bring about the Oklahoma bombing as such, we know in what strategic interest this act of surrogate warfare was conducted against the United States and its people.

In the meantime, do not be duped into buying a used-car without the motor. Also, do not be duped by the popular news-media or so-called "experts" of the TV talk-show and "magazine" features. This act of terrorism was not a "sociological phenomenon." The only motivations which are relevant, are not those of the poor dupes who might have been used to conduct the act, but, rather, the motives of those foreign power interests, on whose behalf those contemptible "hit-men" were deployed.

Let the relevant law-enforcement and counterintelligence teams track the accomplices in this bombing, and bring them to justice. Let the rest of us not forget what enemy of the United States has orchestrated and willfully exploited strategically this virtual act of war against the people and government of the United States.

The Oklahoma City bombing of the Murrah Federal Building on April 19, as an act of international terrorism, had a twofold political objective: the destabilization and paralysis of the U.S. government; and the spread of chaos, fear, and anti-federal government rage in the American public. To achieve those ends, the authors of the crime needed to create the appropriate climate prior to the atrocity, and to exploit reactions to the bombing afterward.

While no evidence has yet linked intelligence agents of the British royal House of Windsor or its allied Club of the Isles directly to the Oklahoma bombing, some leading agents of the Club are thoroughly implicated in shaping the environment before and after the explosion so as to maximize its political impact.

By no later than December 1994, one of the two leading British propagandists directing the assault on the U.S. presidency, had begun regularly featuring the rise of the populist militias as a theme in his Clinton-bashing propaganda. The second of the two, has been overseeing an effort to spread anti-U.S. government paranoia among American populists and self-styled militiamen since no later than early March 1995.

These two figures, Lord William Rees-Mogg and Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, fraudulently built up the militias as a "major" political phenomenon. Then, following the April 19 attack, they set up the militias to "take the fall" for a bombing that they had neither the capability nor the motive to carry out. The British "journalists" were cheered on, throughout this episode, by the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith (ADL), a U.S.-based spy agency with longstanding ties to London, as well as several ADL offshoots and collaborators including Morris Dees's Southern Poverty Law Center (Cup Berlet's Political Research Associates of Cambridge, Massachusetts, and the Chicago-based Cult Awareness Network (CAN). The ADL and CAN were deeply involved in the Waco, Texas fiasco of February-April 1993—frequently portrayed in the media as the "trigger" for the Oklahoma bombing—because they were active in providing government agencies with disinformation aimed at provoking the confrontation that eventually claimed the lives of over 80 people including law enforcement officers and members of the Branch Davidian sect.

Militia 'Patsies'

U.S. military intelligence sources have told *EIR* that ever since Vietnam, it has been understood that any kind of terrorist action that targets civilian populations is certain to have a backfire effect. Based on this, the Pentagon sources are convinced that the Oklahoma bombing would have served no useful purpose for any domestic group attempting to protest against President Clinton or the federal government. These sources insisted that the authors of the attack were out to harm the American people, as well as the Clinton administration. They observed that

Shaped the Climate for the Oklahoma City Bombing

the so-called militias possessed neither the technical skills nor the motive for carrying out the Oklahoma City massacre.

This is not to say that these grassroots groups deserve a clean bill of mental health. These rank-and-file populists have, since the Clinton inauguration, manifested a severe blindspot regarding American history at the point of harbor-



Ambrose Evans-Pritchard

ing delusions directly contrary to historical fact. As a result, they have pathologically blacked out the potential of President Clinton's strong opposition to British policy on crucial international fronts, while seizing on a series of less central issues as pretexts for working themselves into a lather against the White House and its current occupant. Like ethnic populations profiled and manipulated into internecine and self-destructive warfare by the satraps of some ancient empire, they have been drawn



Lord William Rees-Mogg

into the City of London's anti-Clinton frenzy, often inviting the leading anti-American foreign agents and American traitors into their ranks. "Good feelings" or "good intentions" are no excuse for acting on the basis of stupidity; and ignorance of history—at a moment when the United States is in a life-and-death struggle with its enemy of 200 years, the oligarchy behind the British Crown—paves the road to treason.

The susceptibility of the populist militias to manipulation by British agents and fellow-travelers, as well as Bush-league "asteroid" American intelligence networks often allied with London, over the period since January 1993, has set the populist militias up as the prime suspects in the Oklahoma bombing. The image of thousands of "Lee Harvey Oswalds" playing wargames in the American hinterlands in camouflage fatigues is perhaps sufficiently ludicrous to allow one to assess this sophisticated British propaganda and terror campaign. How London has played the militias for fools will be the subject of a forthcoming *EIR* report; here, we focus on the British propagandists themselves.

DOCUMENTATION The Propaganda Offensive Before and After April 19

What follows is a timeline of selected quotes from British and British-inspired propaganda to the purpose of advertising a coming terrorist atrocity in America, and then milking the Oklahoma City bombing after it happened for maximum advantage to Britain's strategic aims of discrediting the United States government and dismembering the nation-state.

The Buildup, October-April

October 1994: The Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith (ADL) issues a 28 page Fact Finding Report, "Armed and Dangerous: Militias Take Aim at the Federal Government." The report consists largely of state-by-state profiles of militia groups, drawn from the ADL's vast army of informants, private investigators, and law enforcement "moles," some of whom are suspected to have been infiltrated into the militias as agents provocateurs.

The report begins: "Bands of armed right-wing militants, most calling themselves 'militias,' are cropping up across America. . . . The aims of these militias, often bellicose-

ly stated, involve laying the groundwork for massive resistance to the federal government and its law enforcement agencies as well as opposition to gun control. In the view of many such extremists, numbering in the thousands, America's government is the enemy, now widening its authoritarian control and planning warfare against the citizenry. . . .

"They are also obsessed with the role of government in two recent events—the Branch Davidian confrontation in Waco and the Randy Weaver siege in Idaho—which they interpret as signs of impending tyranny. The answer, say these extremists, is ultimately, necessarily, paramilitary resistance."

Dec. 4, 1994: Ambrose Evans-Pritchard writes in the *Sunday Telegraph* "Patriot Games Turn Deadly: Illegal U.S. Militias Threaten Rule of Washington," datelined Dallas, Texas:

"Like other militias around the country, the TCM [Texas Constitutional Militia] is growing at phenomenal speed. It began earlier this year, holding its first 'muster' at the Alamo on April 19. Seven months later, it already has 32

counties under arms. . . .

"The enemy is the federal government. It is accused of trying to disarm the American people through gun-control legislation. . . .

"Training is done by a team of former Green Berets and Navy Seals. It includes survival techniques and urban and rural guerilla warfare. . . .

"The main purpose of the movement, its members claim, is deterrence. Every time the federal government oversteps its authority, it will have to consider the possibility of armed opposition. And if it tries another Waco, it will have a minor war on its hands. . . . A large number of [Texas] police officers, sheriffs and reserve guardsmen are secret members of the militia. The army cannot be counted on either. Behind the Texas Constitutional Militia there are layers of paramilitary groups that tap into the US military complex. . . . Secretly there is much else besides. The most serious force appears to be Big Star One, a division sized network in north Texas, Oklahoma and New Mexico that includes serving officers of the U.S. military. . . . Weaponry has been leaking like a sieve from U.S. armories. The clandestine division has conducted exercises in west Texas using mortars and grenade launchers.

"Nobody knows the strength of the militias across the country. The American press has been caught off guard, seriously misjudging the dynamism and scale of the movement.

"In effect, the militias are the shock troops and enforcement arm of the 1994 American Revolution. If the Republicans betray their promise and fail to restore 'constitutional' government, these people could take matters into their own hands."

Feb. 19, 1995: Ambrose Evans-Pritchard writes in the *Sunday Telegraph*, "West Driven Wild by 'Meddling' Congress," datelined Challis, Idaho.

"If some Americans are to be believed, it is the most serious rebellion against Washington since the defeat of the Confederacy in 1865. The hegemony of the U.S. federal government is being challenged in every western state from Idaho to Arizona.

"The 'War of the West,' as it has already been called—has been peaceful so far, but armed citizen militias are organizing spontaneously across the region. There have been dramatic skirmishes at county level. Nye County, Nevada has warned federal agents that they face a \$500 fine and a year in the county jail if they violate the rights of any resident. . . .

"The state governments have been more cautious, but they too are beginning to organize a coherent resistance. The rallying point is the 10th Amendment, which stipulates that all powers not specifically allocated to Washington are reserved by the separate states. . . .

"The state to watch, however, is the rugged vastness of Idaho. Slow to anger, the people have acquiesced quietly over the past 30 years as the East Coast elite imposed its alien values. . . . But the actions of the U.S. authorities over the past two years have finally set off a peasants' revolt. It is about freedom and the right to bear arms. . . . It is also about the struggle to earn a living in a land targeted by

the environmental movement—and its allies in the Clinton administration. . . .

"Samuel Sherrwood, head of the U.S. Militia Association in Blackfoot, Idaho, claims that militia units have now been formed in half of the state's 44 counties. . . . 'We're ready to look the federal government in the eye,' said Mr. Sherrwood. 'We want a bloodless revolution, but if the bureaucrats won't listen we'll give them a civil war to think about.' "

March 22, 1995: article in Rees-Megg's *Strategic Investment*, "Behind the Lines—Waco2," by Jack Wheeler:

"The slaughter of dozens of women and children in Waco by government stormtroopers under the command of Field Marshal Reno may pale in comparison to what has been planned for late March: a nationwide BATF/FBI assault on private militias as the prelude to a possible declaration of martial law throughout the United States. All leaves and vacations have been canceled for BATF/FBI personnel, and for various State Police and National Guards such as California's. The Army's infamous Joint Task Force Six (which did the training for Waco) has been training BATF jackbooters with Bradley Assault Vehicles at Ft. Bliss, Texas. Government agents provocateurs are set to plant fully automatic and heavy weapons, like rocket launchers, on the property of militia leaders. Every militia in the country—and there are dozens, many of which are well-armed and well-led by former or even active-duty officers—is on a state of Red Alert. Should Reno be stupid enough to actually attack them militarily, there is going to be a lot of blood.

"The establishment media is programmed to immediately thereafter thunderously bellow for nationwide gun confiscation and even martial law. The Senate Armed Forces Committee has been alerted and is questioning key Defense and Justice people behind closed doors. Hopefully, Reno's Waco2 can be stopped in time. But that it was plotted in the first place should be a sobering lesson as to what a horrifying extent liberalism, the political philosophy of the administration and the Democratic Party has been converted into a close cousin of fascism."

April 19, 1995: Article in *Strategic Investment*, "Behind the Lines—Waco Spared," by Jack Wheeler:

"At 10:30 in the morning, Friday March 24, an official from the NRA [National Rifle Association] called to tell me: 'All indications are the BATF/FBI raid on the militias is still on. The Rapid Response Center at Ft. Bragg, for example, is on a two-hour alert. The raids are scheduled to begin at 4:00 tomorrow morning.' Two to three days earlier, the March issue of *SI* had arrived to subscribers, and this column, entitled 'Waco2' and warning of the impending attack, was soon posted at several locations on the Internet. Word quickly spread throughout talk radio; all day Friday, I did radio interviews around the country, in which I advised militia leaders not to be home Saturday or Sunday morning. Just before five in the afternoon, with less than 12 hours to go, the NRA called again: 'Bragg and other military installations are off alert; with the element of surprise so clearly lost, the raid has been called off, at least for now.'

Thanks, we were told, to the efforts of *Strategic Investment* and others, a lot of bloodshed may have been averted. This was a subterranean drama, not a word of which was printed in the liberal mainstream press. To all *SI* readers who played a role in preventing an entire score of government atrocities, thank you."

After the Oklahoma Bombing

April 21, 1995: Article in the *London Guardian*.

Tory Members of Parliament, still resentful at the U.S. Administration's red carpet welcome for Gerry Adams, the Sinn Féin president in Washington last month, responded to the Oklahoma bomb outrage by claiming that it had taught the Americans a lesson.

According to the *Guardian*, one Tory MP, David Wilshire, wrote to U.S. Ambassador William Crowe demanding that "as a consequence of your tragedy," the U.S. government must "rethink its feting of apologists for terrorism in Northern Ireland."

The same British elation had been voiced following the World Trade Center bombing of February 1993, when *Daily Telegraph* writer Frank Johnson had gloated: "One good thing might come out of it. It might teach Mr. Clinton that terrorism is no longer something which happens in other countries, and about which it is therefore safe for American Presidents and presidential candidates to posture. . . . He might give up posturing about Northern Ireland."

April 23, 1995: An article appears in the *Sunday Telegraph*, "Militias Prepare to Resist FBI Attack" by Ambrose Evans-Pritchard and Hugh Davies.

"American militias are drawing up battle plans to protect themselves from a nationwide crackdown by federal authorities after one of their number was charged with causing the Oklahoma bomb blast. . . .

"On a nightly intelligence report, broadcast to all militias on short-wave radio, the commanders of the 'Second Continental Army' warned members to be prepared to defend their leaders. 'We are actively stockpiling rice, beans and ammunition and we are preparing for the worst,' said Ross Hullett, commander of the Oklahoma Citizens Militia."

April 23, 1995: *Sunday Telegraph* article, "A Holy War, Home Grown," by Ambrose Evans-Pritchard:

"First they blamed the Muslims. A Who's Who of terrorism experts passed through the TV studios telling us that the Oklahoma bomb had an unmistakable Islamic signature. No matter that it was made of fertilizer mixed with diesel fuel, a bomb technique taught in every commando handbook. Minds had been made up. . . . Then on Thursday afternoon the FBI officer in charge of the investigation appeared in Oklahoma City and made the announcement that stunned the world. . . . These were Americans. The United States, it appeared, had a home-grown terrorist movement, a Baader-Meinhof Gang of its own, and one so depraved that it would blow up a federal building with an infant day-care center on the second floor at 9:02 in the morning. . . .

"This culture of paranoia can easily become a breeding ground for all kinds of destructive pathologies. . . . The citizens' militias are public, but there are a large number of clandestine groups operating in the background. Some appear to have access to surplus equipment from National Guard armories. . . .

The Anti-Defamation League estimates the numbers of the militia at a few thousand nationwide. This is a gross under-estimate. . . . It is probably fair to say that the numbers have reached 60,000 to 80,000 by now, with a larger network of sympathizers who have attended the odd meeting. It could turn into a force of several hundred thousand very quickly, if provoked. . . .

"Talking to them, it is clear that many no longer accept the legitimacy of the U.S. federal government. . . .

"Many militia leaders now believe that there was another bomb inside the building, which they think must have been planted by the 'Feds.' They are already talking about the Oklahoma bombing as the new 'Reichstag Fire,' a dastardly plot to justify repression."

April 30, 1995: An article runs in the *Sunday Times* of London, "Warlords Come to America," by Simon Sebag Montefiore:

"It is the great irony of the new world order that warlordism has come to America, the land of the victors of the Cold War, as well as to the land of the vanquished. . . . Clinton, the 'anti-Christ' Commander-in-Chief who avoided 'Nam,' is not going to beat the phenomenon by criticizing shock jocks on talk radio. He must face up to the fact that whatever the letter of the Constitution, there are now warlord armies loose in the Midwest. Dare he disarm them?"

April 30, 1995: An article in the *Sunday Telegraph* is "The British Are Coming, Says The Apple Pie Home Guard," by Ambrose Evans-Pritchard:

"Urban, suburban, rural and even Internet myths abound in America. As the millennium nears, the pamphlets, whispered rumors and e-mail theories will grow ever more peculiar. But one consistent theme that has taken deep root in parts of the U.S. militia movement, is of a British agenda—aimed squarely at subverting the American way.

"So far, nobody seems willing to blame the Queen outright for the Oklahoma bombing; but the hand of the Royal Family is seen at work everywhere. The agents of Her Majesty, for example, are said to be driving down the value of the dollar and creating chaos so that The New World Order can be established in time for the deadline of the year 2,000.

"A crisis has to be manufactured. The U.N. secret police, under the control of MI6, needs a pretext to seize power in the United States. Then it can begin the task of rounding up American patriots for detention in camps run by the Federal Emergency Management Agency.

"President Clinton works for the British. So did President Bush, of course. . . .

"Cultural anthropologists say that this millennialist concern with a New World Order is a symptom of a society under extreme stress, perhaps one headed towards disintegration. It is puzzling that the British should be considered

the villains of the piece.

"Curious, but gratifying in a way, too. It is nice to know that somebody still thinks we count."

May 17, 1995: An article in Rees-Mogg's *Strategic Investment* is entitled, "Behind the Lines—Encrypt Now," by Jack Wheeler:

"Leftist ideologues permeating the media, the Democratic Party and the Clinton administration—spear-headed by Little Willie himself—wasted no time in taking partisan political advantage of the terrorist bombing in

Oklahoma City. Thus, it is common now to hear the bombing referred to as 'Clinton's Reichstag.'

"The level of fear and alienation towards the federals felt by so many Americans has caused them to suspect Clinton somehow ordered the bombing himself. Bill Clinton is a corrupt politician but he is not a monster. No, he is merely seizing the opportunity, using a horrible tragedy to smear his opponents as 'extremists' and expand federal power. One thing I urge you all to do to protect yourself from the coming assault on every American's privacy is to encrypt your data."

The Chronology of Irregular Warfare

Since shortly before William Clinton was inaugurated President of the United States in January 1993, the country has been hit by an unprecedented "strategy of tension." The effect has been to keep the Office of the Presidency in constant turmoil and to shatter the normal fabric of life for Americans, especially through acts of "blind terrorism."

The pattern, as in the European and especially Italian "strategy of tension" of the 1970s, involves devastating acts of violence by political groups which may be labeled as "extreme left" or "extreme right," which kill and maim large numbers of innocent civilians without warning and for no logical motive. This is coupled with attempts on the lives of leading political figures.

This pattern has been consistently accompanied by gloating coverage in the major British newspapers. Within days of the World Trade Center bombing, British newspapers wondered aloud whether President Clinton had "learned his lesson," and would cease interfering in British policies in Northern Ireland and in the Balkans. The same rhetoric echoed within hours of the Oklahoma City bombing.

What follows is a timeline of events, beginning shortly before Clinton's election in November 1992.

Oct. 20, 1992: Gary Steven Foster, 41, a Chicago man on anti-psychotic medication, is arrested for threatening Clinton as the candidate campaigns in the city.

Oct. 22, 1992: Edward Carroll, 43, of Nevada is indicted for threatening Clinton. The former Marine had a history of mental problems.

Jan. 25, 1993: A gunman alleged to be the Pakistani Mir Amal Kanzi kills two CIA agents and wounds three others by shooting at cars waiting to enter the CIA headquarters during morning rush hour. Kanzi escapes to Pakistan or Afghanistan.

Feb. 25, 1993: Michael Bernard Shields, 28, a Virginia Beach, Virginia gun dealer, is ordered to undergo psychiatric evaluation for threatening to kill Clinton. Shields was initially under suspicion for selling weapons to West Virginia white supremacist William Pierce, author of *The Turner Diaries*.

Feb. 26, 1993: A truck bomb explodes in the basement of one of the World Trade Center buildings. Four people are

killed, and hundreds are injured. The plot has subsequently been blamed on Islamic followers of Egyptian Sheikh Omar Abdul-Rahman, and a trial is still under way.

Feb. 28, 1993: One hundred Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms agents, supported by three helicopters, raid the Waco, Texas headquarters of the Branch Davidian sect to deliver warrants for possible gun violations. In the ensuing 45-minute gun battle, four ATF agents are killed, as well as at least three Branch Davidians including a two-year-old child. The whole incident had been set up by false information fed by the Cult Awareness Network (CAN) and the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith (ADL).

February 1993: Larry Peoples of Biloxi, Mississippi makes a threat in a letter to President Clinton after listening to the populist TV host Rush Limbaugh. Peoples is arrested by the FBI in December 1993, but not until after he had bought a pistol and a ticket to Washington, D.C.

April 19, 1993: The FBI leads a full-scale assault on the Waco compound with tanks, and after the compound catches fire there are no survivors among the Branch Davidian men, women, and children. The senior Justice Department official advising just-confirmed Attorney General Janet Reno is Mark Richard.

July 1993: Matthew Bennett is arrested in Woodstock, New York for threatening the President.

Oct. 15, 1993: James Lee Buchanan, 41, a Kansas City, Missouri mental patient, is charged with threatening Clinton after telling police he intended to kill the President.

November 1993: Ralph Dulaney Mason, a self-described member of the Branch Davidians, threatens the President.

Dec. 13, 1993: David Craig Davis, 33, of Great Falls, Montana is arrested for threatening to kill the President.

December 1993: The December edition of the *American Spectator*, which has some British personnel overlapping the Hollinger Corp.'s Telegraph PLC (such as Sir Peregrine Worsthorne), launches the campaign of fomenting various corruption and sexual misconduct media scandals against the Clintons sometimes called "Whitewater-gate." The *American Spectator* story dubbed "Troopergate" is featured as the top news story on Ted Turner's Cable News Network for

two days.

February 1994: Rollen Frederick Stewart of Orange County, California threatens the life of the President. Stewart (a.k.a. "Rainbow Man," "Rockin' Rollen") had a record that included four felony counts for placing explosive devices in various public places. This product of the rock-drug-sex counterculture made a name for himself by attending sporting events wearing a multi-colored wig and waving a sign citing a Biblical passage.

Feb. 21, 1994: Matthew Thomas sends a death threat via computer to President Clinton's confidential E-mail address at the White House. He will be arrested some months later.

Feb. 23, 1994: Ronald Gene Barbour, 45, is arrested at a psychiatric hospital in Orlando, Florida for threatening the life of the President. He is accused of stalking the President along Clinton's Washington jogging route. Barbour is armed at the time, but never gets close enough to the President to shoot.


April 3, 1994: Michael Mower, 36, of Dayton, Ohio kills his mother and himself at a motel where he is staying after wounding two law-enforcement agents investigating his threats on the President. Mower began shooting after a Secret Service agent and three deputies went to the motel at 3 p.m. At 6 p.m. a SWAT team will return and find Mower and his mother dead.

May 11, 1994: Larry Nichols of Little Rock, Arkansas is videotaped at the Boulder Patriots meeting, which is part of the Colorado militia, waving a large-caliber gun and threatening to have a showdown at the "OK Corral" in Washington, D.C. with President Clinton. Nichols, who worked in the Mena, Arkansas branch of the Contra drug- and gun-running network run by Oliver North and Vice President George Bush, had told *Telegraph* reporter Ambrose Evans-Pritchard that he would "destroy" Clinton for having him fired from his state job. Nichols's appearance in Boulder is part of a nationwide tour, sponsored by evangelist Jerry Falwell, and organized by Falwell and Nichols's British publicist, Nick Ashton.

July 2, 1994: Ralph Dulaney Mason, 44, of Lake City, Michigan is sentenced to five years in prison for threatening President Clinton verbally and by mail.

July 19, 1994: The Secret Service charges Paul Walling, 46, a suburban Philadelphia man who opposes gun control, with threatening President Clinton. He also was alleged to have wanted to kill Attorney General Janet Reno. When police arrest Walling, they find he has acquired two dozen weapons. All are loaded, and some have military rounds in them.

Aug. 30, 1994: Glenn Robert Armstrong, 26, of Uxbridge, Massachusetts, is arraigned on charges of threatening to kill



President Clinton, who is vacationing on Martha's Vineyard. He is arrested while waiting to board a ferry to Martha's Vineyard after reportedly telling a ticket reservation clerk he was "going to kill the President." Armstrong will plead not guilty in Barnstable District Court and be ordered to undergo 20 days of psychiatric evaluation.

Sept. 12, 1994: Frank Corder, piloting a stolen single-prop Cessna aircraft, crashes onto the White House lawn, skidding up to the press office which is underneath the living quarters. He is killed in the crash.

Oct. 29, 1994: U.S. military veteran Francisco Duran sprays the White House with semi-automatic, assault weapon fire before being arrested by police. He will subsequently be convicted of attempting to kill the President along with other lesser charges.

Dec. 12, 1994: Several high-powered rifle shots are fired at the south windows of the White House from a distance of several hundred yards. Police will later search overseas for a suspect.

March 22, 1995: William Rees-Mogg's newsletter *Strategic Investment* prints a story claiming that President Clinton and Attorney General Janet Reno are about to launch a nationwide crackdown on militias, framing up leaders on phony weapons charges. The move, the newsletter claims, is preparatory to a declaration of martial law.

April 19, 1995: A shaped-charge truck bomb explodes at the Murrah Federal Building in downtown Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, killing 165 employees and citizens.

May 20, 1995: President Clinton, following a recommendation of the Secret Service, permanently seals off the two-block stretch of Pennsylvania Avenue in front of the White House to cars, trucks, and buses.

May 23, 1995: Leland William Modjeski scales the southeast White House fence carrying a handgun, setting off several alarms as he walks toward the back of the White House. He was wrestled to the ground by Secret Service officer Scott Giambattista, who discovers a small-caliber revolver. A second Secret Service agent fires, hitting the suspect and Giambattista; neither is seriously hurt.

LaRouche's British Enemies Are Behind The Assault on Clinton

In the early summer of 1978, Lyndon LaRouche was the target of simultaneously released slanderous documents issued by the Heritage Foundation and the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith (ADL). Both writings drew heavily upon previous slanders from such sources as William F. Buckley's *National Review*, CIA defector Philip Agee's *Counterspy* magazine, the *New York Times*, and the *Washington Post*. The ADL smear sheet initiated the knowingly false charge that LaRouche and his associates were anti-Semitic, while the Heritage Foundation "Institution Analysis" emphasized equally scurrilous charges that LaRouche was working for the East German state security services and the KGB. In all other respects, the two documents were indistinguishable.

In response to the coordinated attacks, associates of LaRouche, in preparation for possible legal action, began an investigation into the ADL and Heritage Foundation, as well as the Rockford College Institute, whose Washington, D.C. resident scholar, Francis Watson, had penned the Heritage diatribe. That investigation revealed the guiding hand of British intelligence and the British royal family behind both slanders.

Today, the same institutions that united against Lyndon LaRouche in 1978 are in the forefront of the assault against the U.S. Presidency. Beyond the scandal-mongering against the First Family, the Clintons have received numerous death threats, and there have been serious attempts against the President's life, including four assaults on the White House. Networks under the direction of the Heritage Foundation and the Rockford Institute have been implementing the non-stop smear campaign against Mr. and Mrs. Clinton, while playing a pivotal role in the avowedly Jacobin "Conservative Revolution" to overturn the U.S. Constitution and realize Britain's 200-year dream of balkanizing and recolonizing the United States.

The ADL, too, has played a significant hand in the assault on the Presidency, by overtly working to wreck the Middle East peace process, while also playing an important propaganda role in building up the so-called militias as the "fall guys" for the Oklahoma City bombing of April 19, 1995. These circumstances make a review of *EIR's* original 1978 investigation of these groups timely.

The Strategic Setting

The spring-summer 1978 attacks against LaRouche

came at a turning point in world economic and monetary affairs. At conferences in Bremen and Bonn, West Germany, that year, leaders of the industrialized world took up proposals for a new global economic order echoing those first spelled out by Lyndon LaRouche in March 1975 at a press conference in Bonn. After touring the Middle East and conferring with top officials of Arab governments, as well as Israel, LaRouche had introduced a plan for an International Development Bank to replace the discredited International Monetary Fund and World Bank. LaRouche's IDB would restore a gold-backed monetary system and create a new credit-issuing fund to launch great infrastructure projects on every continent.

LaRouche's proposal not only promised to bring about the greatest era of prosperity and peace in modern history. By including the Soviet Union and the Communist in the new IDB, the LaRouche plan would have peacefully ended the Cold War and Communism, some 15 years earlier than the U.S.S.R.'s eventual collapse. The IDB proposal of 1975 foreshadowed LaRouche's later work, beginning in 1977, to formulate what became known as the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI). LaRouche's 1975 Middle East trip had helped plant the seeds of an economic solution to that long-manipulated regional conflict, now finally reflected in aspects of the Clinton-Rabin-Arafat plan.

The LaRouche IDB initiative back in 1975 set off alarm bells in London, and British agent of influence Henry Kissinger was dispatched to quash LaRouche's efforts to win support for the plan from Third World governments, according to eyewitness accounts and evidence later released under the U.S. Freedom of Information Act. Kissinger's thuggery was, however, only temporarily successful. Interest in a new gold-backed monetary arrangement spread among policy shapers in West Germany, France, and Italy.

The British response was a wave of assassinations. In the spring of 1977, while traveling in West Germany, LaRouche was warned by both German and American security services that his name had appeared on a Baader-Meinhof Red Army Faction (RAF) hit list. By the summer of 1977, two men on the list, banker Jürgen Ponto, and industrialist Hanns-Martin Schleyer, had been assassinated. The Second Generation RAF, which was involved in the Ponto and Schleyer killings, was a product of British intelligence covert psychological warfare operations in West Germany, centered in the British Occupation Zone, in particular, the



Canon Edward N. West at the Cathedral of St. John the Divine, in 1978 confirmed that the British establishment was the common source for both the ADL and Heritage Foundation slanders of LaRouche.



Irwin Suall, then head of the ADL's Fact-Finding Division in New York City in 1978, the year the ADL issued its lying report against LaRouche.

Heidelberg Mental Patients Collective, a London Tavistock Institute (British military psychological warfare) project.

Early in 1978, with interest in the IDB concept still not fully contained, new plans were hatched in London to deal with "the LaRouche problem." By this point in time, LaRouche's IDB proposal had been presented to senior officials in every government in the world, and in every major financial institution. One senior Swiss banker personally admitted to LaRouche that the IDB was a workable solution to the pending global financial crisis, but that the international financial establishment would never permit it to happen.

The City of London devised a disinformation campaign so that an attack on LaRouche would not be linked to the fight over the global monetary system, but could be attributed to his purported "anti-Semitic" or "pro-Soviet" views. Without that political "spin," there was a credible danger that LaRouche's elimination could accelerate the push to implement his plan. The British oligarchy wanted LaRouche dead, but, they wanted his ideas buried along with him. This linkage between advance propaganda work, creating a climate conducive to the desired political effect, and major acts of international terrorism, including "derivative assassinations," has direct bearing on London's present drive to eliminate President Clinton.

Terrorists struck again in spring 1978, kidnapping and eventually murdering Italy's Christian Democratic party leader Aldo Moro. At the time of his murder, ostensibly by Red Brigades terrorists, Moro was moving to create a stable Italian government through the "historical compromise"

with the Italian Communist Party which was opposed by both London and Moscow. Four years later, in 1982, Moro's widow publicly named Henry Kissinger as the man who threatened the life of the Italian leader shortly before his kidnap-murder. A shaken Kissinger showed up within 24 hours of the Moro family allegations at a press conference in Paris to blame the Italian charges against him on Lyndon LaRouche!

When the Bremen and Bonn conferences went ahead in the spring of 1978 with preliminary plans to break London's stranglehold over world finance, through the creation of a European Monetary System, the seed-crystal for a new global monetary arrangement, the action plan already designed against LaRouche was set in motion.

Heritage Foundation and ADL

First, the Club of the Isles, the 150-year-old network that draws together all of the leading royal and princely houses of Europe under Windsor direction, held a series of planning meetings in Britain. The preliminary meeting took place in December 1977, and involved Evelyn de Rothschild, director of N.M. Rothschild and chairman of *The Economist* (employer of Heritage Foundation director Robert Moss); Lord Harlech (formerly Sir David Ormsby-Gore) of the Cecil family, a longtime intimate of Henry Kissinger and one-time British ambassador to the United States; Liberal Party leader David Steel; and Conservative Party Chairman William Whitelaw, among others.

A month before the Heritage and ADL slanders were

released, a second meeting took place in Brighton, England under the rubric of a group called "Aims for Industry," which purported to mobilize the intelligence services of the West in a new "anti-communist" crusade to defend the free market system—i.e., the Windsor-Club of the Isles apparatus. Attending the session, along with a number of American corporate chairmen, were Club of the Isles representatives from Imperial Chemical Industries, S.G. Warburg, and other City of London banks. The meeting was chaired by Admiral LeBailey, the former head of the British Secret Intelligence Service (SIS).

This apparatus took over the Heritage Foundation, beginning in 1975, transforming it from a hip-pocket think-tank for Colorado beer magnate Joseph Coors into a Washington outpost for the Tory apparatus surrounding Margaret Thatcher. The wave of Tory infiltrators began with Sir Julian Amery, a Balliol College, Oxford crony of Evelyn de Rothschild, who joined the policy board that year. He recruited Winston Churchill III; Robert Moss; Edwin Fuelner, a Mont Pelerin Society member and graduate of the London School of Economics; LSE self-professed Fabian socialist Stuart Butler; and Oxford graduate Robert L. Schuettinger, a close confidant of Mont Pelerin Society patron Otto von Hapsburg.

The Rockford College Institute had already been gobbled up by the Tories. Rockford's board included Barbara Schonfeld, who was the Minister of the Exchequer in Margaret Thatcher's "Shadow Cabinet." Rockford was the official American sister organization to the London Centre for Policy Studies, headed by Sir Keith Joseph, official speech writer for Thatcher; Mont Pelerin Society member; and soon-to-be board member of Heritage's *Policy Review* journal.

Released on June 30, 1978, the Heritage Foundation's 24-page slander against Lyndon LaRouche, authored by Francis M. Watson, Research Associate of Rockford College Institute, was distributed to every American Fortune 500 company, and every major Wall Street banking and brokerage house. Watson embarked on a whirlwind tour of U.S. major cities and then, on to London and Paris.

Henry Simon Bloch, a director of Warburg Pincus, the American branch of S.G. Warburg, confided to one undercover investigator that he had received the Heritage document, and had been told that "LaRouche will get the Malcolm X treatment." Bloch had been personally alerted to the "LaRouche danger" by Arthur Ross, a onetime British intelligence station chief in New York City, at the time a business partner of Hollinger Corp. chairman Conrad Black, and investment counsellor to Rothschild family first cousin Sir James Goldsmith.

By early autumn, the Heritage Foundation-Rockford document was in international circulation. At an October 1978 conference of the Mont Pelerin Society in Hong Kong, Heritage Foundation director Ed Fuelner recruited the entire apparatus of the Mont Pelerin Society, a radical oligarchist "free-market" economic think-tank, to conduct

global intelligence and counter-operations against LaRouche and his associates.

The Second Shoe Is Dropped

In July 1978, the Anti-Defamation League of B'nai B'rith (ADL) released its own "Fact Finding" report, branding LaRouche an anti-Semite and a dangerous right-winger. Although this was apparently an opposite "spin" to the "communist" flavor of the Heritage piece, an interview with Francis Watson in September 1978 procured the recommendation that the caller contact the ADL to get more information on the LaRouche group.

The common source of the two documents was confirmed by the Canon of the Episcopal Archdiocese of New York and the chaplain of the Sovereign Military and Hospitaller Order of St. John of Jerusalem Knights of Malta, a chivalric order run by the Club of the Isles and the House of Windsor. In a Dec. 9, 1978 interview, Canon Edward West told two visitors to his cavernous basement study at the Cathedral of St. John the Divine that the British elites would not get directly involved in the effort against LaRouche. Rather, "we have our Jewish friends in the Anti-Defamation League deal with Mr. LaRouche and his organization."

Indeed, while the Heritage report was circulating inside the board rooms of the Fortune 500, the ADL, always an interface between the City of London Establishment and the gangster underworld, was seizing the "low road." ADL National Commission member Robert Morgenthau, the District Attorney of Manhattan; ADL National Commission member Max Fisher, a onetime member of the Meyer Lansky-allied Purple Gang, now a "respected" Detroit businessman; and ADL Honorary Vice Chairman Edgar Bronfman, heir to the Seagram whiskey fortune (a spawn of a Prohibition era bootlegging operation known as the Bronfman Gang), all put gutter-level assets into action, stalking LaRouche during a Midwest tour, in which LaRouche had been scheduled to discuss the recent breakthroughs at Bremen and Bonn with American business and labor leaders. Among the gangs unleashed against LaRouche were the Communist Labor Party and the Jewish Defense League.

After a series of near-miss attacks in Detroit and Chicago, LaRouche commissioned an all-out effort to identify the apparatus that had placed him in its cross-hairs. It was in large measure as the result of his naming the names of the "citizens above suspicion" behind the slander and physical threats, especially in such locations as the bestselling exposé book *Dope, Inc.*, released in December 1975, that the Club of the Isles temporarily backed off from their murderous plans. The lesson should be carefully noted by the professional security and law enforcement officials responsible for the safety of the President of the United States, and for the probe of the Oklahoma City bombing.

The British Royals Plot To Balkanize the United States

Fewer than two weeks after the bombing of the federal building in Oklahoma City, the *Washington Post* published a commentary linking the incident to the eventual disintegration of the United States. Written prior to the bombing by William Lind, currently affiliated with the Conservative Revolutionary-linked Free Congress Foundation in Washington and formerly a close associate of Newt Gingrich in the congressional Military Reform Caucus, the piece foretold of the "inevitable" dissolution of the United States, complete with a second, and far more bloody, Civil War, caused by a process of polarization triggered by the growing powers of the federal government and its liberal policies.


An editor's note preceding the article, which was run under the headline "Understanding Oklahoma," claimed

that it reflected the extreme anti-government views held by members of the militia movement and other "military groups scattered around the country, some of whom advocate armed resistance to the federal government and all it represents."

The decision by the *Post's* editors to run an article predicting the dissolution of the United States, as an analysis of the political implications of the Oklahoma bombing, provides further evidence that the incident wasn't the random handiwork of some homegrown survivalist lunatics, but, rather, was the opening salvo in a war to dismember the United States, directed from the highest levels of the British oligarchy.

While Lind described his article as a "futuristic fantasy," the brutal fact is that the continued existence of the United

Windsors' Fifth Column in America



States as a nation-state today stands in grave danger. Under the guiding hand of the British oligarchy, which has never abandoned its desire to undo the American Revolution and bring its former colonies back under its boot, various anarchic forces have been unleashed to shatter the central institutions of U.S. government and society. Unless the British are exposed and forced to back down, Oklahoma City will be just the beginning of the breakdown of the "last, best hope of mankind."

The British goal of balkanizing the United States into a morass of small, economically backward, and politically impotent states, continuously embroiled in squabbles with each other, was publicly expressed by the British monarchy's Royal Consort Prince Philip during a visit to Washington, D.C. in May 1990, where he attended an international conference on religion and ecology cosponsored by the North American Congress on Religion and Ecology (NACRE) and the World Wildlife Fund. The WWF is a branch of the World Wide Fund for Nature, the leading international oligarchical environmentalist organization which, at the time, was headed by Prince Philip.

At a press conference at the National Press Club on May 18, 1990, Prince Philip issued a virtual declaration of war against the United States as a sovereign nation-state.

The United States should be divided up into "bioregions," the prince asserted, and ecologically oriented religious groups should lead the way. "The idea," he explained, "is that religious communities in a given bio-region, such as the Mississippi River system, might combine together to plan programs of environmental action based upon a common ecological situation."

The Duke of Edinburgh then assaulted the Judeo-Christian underpinnings of the United States and other western countries. Praising the concept of "local" religions, Philip blasted "what might be termed the 'revealed' religions" for having attempted "to draw people away from what they have seen as the pagan worship of natural phenomena. It is now apparent," he went on, "that the ecological pragmatism of the so-called pagan religions, such as that of the American Indians, the Polynesians and the Australian Aborigines, was a great deal more realistic in terms of conservation ethics than the more intellectual monotheistic philosophies of the revealed religions."

Philip followed up this diatribe the next day, with a vituperative attack on what the oligarchy despises most about the United States: its foundational commitment to economic growth, predicated on advances in science and technology and the development of the creative powers of its citizens. Addressing an audience at the Washington Cathedral, which had played host to the religion and ecology conference, Philip said:

"Over hundreds of thousands of years, human societies had to learn to live within the limits of the natural resources at their disposal. What we call 'primitive' societies are living under those restraints to the present day; until, that is, the children of the growth societies move in to exploit their

resources for immediate gain and to promise them the fruits of their growth philosophy.

"The trouble is that the industrial and then the scientific revolutions have not only changed the material environment, they have also changed human perceptions. Knowledge and power and the staggering success of applied science and technology appear to have influenced western culture to believe that humanity has complete control of the planet. . . .

"So long as people perceive constantly rising material standards of living and increasing population as the criteria of successful existence, so long as they ignore the inevitable consequences of their self-indulgence, science and technology will be exploited to pander to this selfish philosophy."

The Center Cannot Hold

In the five years since Philip's remarks, operations designed to tear the United States apart have proliferated wildly.

While a number of key U.S. environmental organizations, such as the Sierra Club, have indeed responded to Philip's admonition by putting the creation of bioregions at the top of their organizing agenda, many other seemingly disparate options for bringing about the dissolution of the United States have been put into high gear by forces operating under British control.


Not the least of these is the Conservative Revolution of Newt Gingrich, et al., who are campaigning to place such severe limitations on the powers of the federal government that it would, practically speaking, cease to exist. Some elements of the Conservative Revolution, such as Jewelyn Rockwell of the Ludwig von Mises Institute in Auburn, Alabama, have gone so far as to call for outright secession, explicitly using the British-backed Confederacy as a model.

Meanwhile, proponents of political "catastrophe theory," such as the University of Toronto's Thomas Homer-Dixon, confidently predict that nation-states, including the United States, will soon begin to disintegrate under the pressure of ethnic and religious rivalries, excessive immigration, and alleged overpopulation and resource depletion, while other political and economic theorists plug the notion of a borderless world in which economic regions, transcending existing political borders, will supersede the nation-state.

Although these scenarios differ in their particulars, and may seem, on the surface, to be antithetical, they have two crucial elements in common: They all seek the dissolution of the United States, and they all have a common parentage, the British monarchy, which has carefully tailored various balkanization stratagems to appeal to different segments of the U.S. population. Thus, transnational bioregions are sold to the radical ecology gaggle, and states' rights to self-styled populists and conservatives—with both converging on Britain's goal of dismantling the United States.

It would be a dangerous mistake to view these scenarios

"Nine nations" of North America



- | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1 Empty Quarter | 4 New England | 7 Dixie |
| 2 Ecotopia | 5 Bread Basket | 8 Mexamerica |
| 3 Quebec | 6 Foundries | 9 The Islands |

as purely hypothetical. In addition to the Oklahoma City bombing, one need only look at the growth of various secessionist movements within the country over the past few years to realize that the British oligarchy is deadly serious about balkanizing the United States.

For example, movements advocating outright secession from the Union exist in Hawaii and Alaska, while in Sacramento a proposed ballot referendum that would have divided California into three separate states was approved by a State Assembly committee in 1993, although it subsequently failed in the State Senate. Its chief sponsor, former Assemblyman Stan Statham, has set up a "Three Californias" foundation to pursue the idea further. In New York, a proposal to separate the state into two independent entities is gathering force, while in the Midwest, 19 counties in western Kansas are fighting to withdraw from the state in a battle over school taxes.

The British have devised a number of options for balkanizing the United States, some of which are reviewed below:

The Washington Post's 'Nine Nations'

In 1981, *Washington Post* reporter Joel Garreau published a widely publicized book which treated the United

States as already in the throes of disintegration. Garreau has since gone on to become the chief spokesman for the Royal Dutch Shell-sponsored Global Business Network, a San Francisco-based Fortune 500 environmental organization.

Entitled *The Nine Nations of North America*, Garreau's work claimed that the United States not only did not, but *could not*, function as a unified nation-state. It was far too diverse, economically, ideologically, ethnically, etc., to do so.

Garreau argued that the United States, Canada, and Mexico actually consisted of nine different nations (see **Map**, p. 17), among them, Ecotopia, including northern California, Oregon, and Washington, along with far western Canada and Alaska; MexAmerica, encompassing the rest of California, parts of Arizona and Texas, and a large chunk of Mexico; the Empty Quarter, stretching across west-central Canada, down through the western states (which is where the militia movement has recently taken root); Dixie; New England, etc.

The precise details of the map of the new "nine nations" are of less significance than Garreau's principal theme, which was that the United States was a political fiction which was becoming increasingly impossible to sustain. Not only was the country undergoing an inevitable process of political fragmentation, according to Garreau's script, but the resulting "nine nations" were doomed to a future history of constant infighting.

"Forget the pious wisdom you've been handed about North America," Garreau urged at the outset of the book. "Forget about the borders dividing the United States, Canada, and Mexico, those pale barriers so thoroughly porous to money, immigrants, and ideas. . . . Consider, instead, the way North America really works. It is Nine Nations. Each has its capital and its distinctive web of power and influence. A few are allies, but many are adversaries. . . . These nations look different, feel different, and sound different from each other, and few of their boundaries match the political lines drawn on current maps."

The body of Garreau's book consisted of profiles of each of the nine nations (e.g., New England is impoverished but civilized), with the aim of demonstrating that sectional differences among them were so great, that they could never function effectively as one country. "Each of these Nine Nations has a different future," wrote Garreau. For example, "The two Pacific nations that divide California . . . are openly antagonistic. They're as antithetical as sunshine and rain. . . . San Francisco and Los Angeles are not just two cities. They represent two value structures. Indeed, they are capitals of two different nations—Los Angeles the capital of

MexAmerica, and San Francisco that of Ecotopia. So viewed, Sacramento becomes less the capital of anything terribly important than it is merely a border town between hostile forces."

"The more self-assured each of these Nine Nations becomes," Garreau asserted, "the less willing it is to be dictated to by outsiders who show no interest in sharing—or even understanding—local values. *This hinders a search for continentwide answers to political questions.* As resources and opportunities are dispersed, each nation, at least theoretically, becomes increasingly capable of solving its own problems at its own level. . . . Increased sophistication may lead to the decline of marginal continental differences. (The classic southern drawl is on the wane, for example.) But it emphasizes the real, enduring, and basic economic and social differences of each region, manifested in attitudes toward everything from nuclear power to unions to abortion" (emphasis added).

If that sounds familiar, it should; it could have been written by Phil Gramm, Newt Gingrich, or any one of their lunatic allies.

Garreau's *Nine Nations* was deemed to be out of the mainstream of political thought when it first appeared. But within little more than a decade, books purveying the theme that the United States is breaking up, had begun to flood the bookstores.

One of the most important of these broadsides against the continued existence of the United States came from the pen of George Kennan, the former State Department Soviet hand who had long inhabited the higher reaches of the Anglophile faction of the U.S. policymaking establishment.

Kennan's memoirs, *Around the Cragged Hill*, published shortly after Bill Clinton's election as President, heaped venom on nearly every aspect of the United States, from its "addiction" to automobiles, to the decline of the "servant class." But what Kennan hated most about his country was its size.

"We are," he wrote, "if territory and population be looked at together, one of the great countries of the world—a *monster country*, one might say, along with such others as China, India, the recent Soviet Union, and Brazil. And there is a real question as to whether 'bigness' in a body politic is not an evil in itself, quite aside from the policies pursued in its name. . . . Excessive size in a country results unavoidably in a diminished sensitivity of its laws and regulations to the particular needs, traditional, ethnic, cultural, linguistic, and the like, of individual localities and communities. The tendency, in great countries, is to take recourse to sweeping solutions, applying across the board to all elements of the population. . . . Particularly is this true in the United States" (emphasis added).

Kennan went on: "There is a further quality of greatness of size in a country that deserves mention here. One might define it as the hubris of inordinate size. It is a certain lack of modesty in the national self-image of the great state—a feeling that the nation's role in the world must be equivalent

to its physical size, with the consequent relative tendency to overweening pretensions and ambitions."

Quoting, approvingly, his former boss, Washington diplomat Bill Bullitt's comment that mankind is a "skin disease of the Earth." Kennan asserted that the United States is not only too big and too powerful, it has too many people, as well. "There is an optimal balance dependent on the manner of man's life, between the density of human population and the tolerances of nature. This balance, in the case of the United States, would seem to me to have been surpassed when the American population reached, at a very maximum, 200 million people, and perhaps a good deal less."

Kennan's "solution" to the problem posed by the "monster" United States was to shatter it into 12 constituent republics, onto which would devolve most of the functions and powers currently held by the federal government.

"It is under the influence of these views about the disadvantages of 'bigness' that I have often diverted myself, and puzzled my friends, by wondering how it would be if our country, while retaining certain of the rudiments of a federal government, were to be decentralized into something like a dozen constituent republics, absorbing not only the powers of the existing states but a considerable part of those of the present federal establishment." Kennan wrote. "I could conceive of something like nine of these republics—let us say, New England; the Middle Atlantic states; the Middle West: the Northwest (from Wisconsin to the Northwest, and down the Pacific coast to central California); the Southwest (including southern California and Hawaii); Texas (by itself); the Old South; Florida (perhaps including Puerto Rico); and Alaska; plus three great self-governing urban regions, those of New York, Chicago, and Los Angeles—a total of twelve constituent entities. To these entities I would accord a larger part of the present federal powers that one might suspect—large enough, in fact, to make most people gasp."

Kennan admitted that such an arrangement would indeed result in "many new complexities and not a few inefficiencies." But, in a truly bizarre note, he claimed that this would be all to the good. "[A] case might be made, I think, for the thesis that *nothing is more greatly to be feared, in the realm of governmental theory, than the effort to create governmental systems that are logical, uncomplicated, efficient, and vast in scope. That is not the way people themselves are constructed; and a governmental system that strived too hard for these apparent advantages would be bound to do violence to people's deepest needs*" (emphasis added).

Kennan also acknowledged that his proposal was designed to accelerate the centrifugal tendencies already at work. "If sectional differences have indeed been weakened by these forces [of modernism]," he wrote, "they might be reinvigorated, stimulated, and encouraged by the sort of decentralization I have suggested."

Echoing Prince Philip's May 1990 attack on economic growth, Kennan demanded an end to economic develop-

ment in the United States, urging that it become a "static society."

"I react skeptically . . . to the ideal of economic growth that preoccupies so intensively almost all thoughts on economic problems in the United States. . . . Why growth? The assumption that without constant growth a national economy could not be what it was supposed to be—could not, that is, serve the purposes of society that it was meant to serve—seems to me to be without substantiation. . . . Would there not be something diseased, something cancerous, something open-ended and unstable, about an economy that had to be constantly growing to be seen as adequate to national needs?"

"Of course, to the extent that population grows, the economy has to grow with it since there will obviously be more mouths to feed, more bodies to clothe, more shelters to be provided. But I can think of no place in the Western world or in other regions where population *ought* to be growing. If the preservation of this planet as a suitable habitat for civilization is the overriding imperative of our time, and if population growth is itself the greatest threat we face to the intactness of that habitat, then why should we wish to see further growth of this nature?"

"And what, after all, is wrong with a physically static society?"

To govern what was left of federal institutions, Kennan proposed setting up an unelected Council of State. "The federal government requires . . . the presence at its side of a permanent non-political advisory body—one that permits the tapping of the greatest sources of wisdom and experience that the private citizenry of the country can provide. It also seems evident that the meeting of this need would require an institutional innovation of a wholly unusual nature, quite devoid of precedent in the national experience."

Kennan explained that the Council of State would be a "permanent body" whose members would be "persons of high distinction." Its task would be confined to telling the country, including the politicians what ought to be done in the long-term interests of American society."

Kennan's book was a big hit in Britain, where it was praised to the skies by the London *Financial Times*, among other media outlets.

Global Government and the Region

Variants on Kennan's theme abound: Just one example is Yale professor (and British subject) Paul Kennedy's latest opus. Published in 1993, *Preparing for the Twenty-First Century* declared that nation-states are rapidly becoming "anachronistic." Global changes, such as the growth of international finance, multinational corporations, international terrorism, and narcotics networks, et al., "call into question the usefulness of the nation-state itself. The key autonomous actor in political and international affairs for the past few centuries appears not just to be losing its con-

trol and integrity, but to be the *wrong sort* of unit to handle the newer circumstances. For some problems, it is too large to operate effectively; for others, it is too small. In consequence, there are pressures for a 'relocation of authority' both upward and downward, creating structures that might respond better to today's and tomorrow's forces for change" (emphasis in original).

Kennedy predicted that such supranational organizations as the United Nations and the International Monetary Fund, along with emerging regional institutions, such as the North American Free Trade Agreement, would increasingly become the repositories of this "upward" "relocation of authority," while the "downward" trend would be represented by such phenomena as individual American states, "often frustrated by the lack of interest shown by the federal government," opening their own trade missions in foreign countries.

This development, Kennedy acknowledged, "carries with it the risk of national disintegration."

The Spring 1993 issue of *Foreign Affairs*, the journal of the Anglophile New York Council on Foreign Relations (CFR), carried two articles that expanded on this particular aspect of *Preparing*.

"California's Foreign Policy," authored by James Goldsborough of the *San Diego Union-Tribune*, termed California the world's "eighth-largest nation," and asserted that the state is "so big, and its problems so immense, that it needs its own foreign policy." The decline in federal largesse, especially the draconian reductions in defense spending, left California no choice but to act "like an independent nation," especially in the area of foreign policy and international trade.

The accompanying article, "The Rise of the Region State," by Kenichi Ohmae, chairman of the offices of McKinsey & Co. in Japan, carried the theme. Beginning with the declaration that "the nation state has become an unnatural, even dysfunctional unit for organizing human activity and managing economic endeavor in a borderless world," the article insisted that "region states" are the wave of the future. According to Ohmae, these region states may develop within existing nations, such as northern Italy or Catalonia, or may transcend existing political borders, as with San Diego and Tijuana, or Hongkong and southern China.

Ohmae declared flat out that the United States, "in economic terms has never been a single nation." Instead, he claimed, in an echo of Garreau's *Nine Nations*, "it is a collection of region states: northern and southern California, the 'power corridor' along the East Coast between Boston and Washington, the Northeast, the Midwest, the Sun Belt, and so on."

Ohmae maintained that national governments should give free rein to region states in the realm of economic affairs, including trade and investment, while retaining control only over "foreign policy, security and defense," and monetary policies.

Foreign Affairs' publication of the Ohmae and Goldsborough articles reflects an intense involvement by the CFR in promoting the notion that the nation-state is obsolete. In 1991, the CFR conducted a project on "A Changing World Order," under the chairmanship of Elliot Richardson, and with the participation of Henry Kissinger, Sen. Daniel Patrick Moynihan (D-N.Y.), and current U.S. Ambassador Thomas Pickering, which explored "alternatives" to the nation-state. Out of the project came a book by Gidon Gotlieb, *Nation Against State*, which contended that the nation-state as a political form is incapable of addressing such crises as in former Yugoslavia, Northern Ireland, etc.

The Gotlieb book was dedicated to the Arthur Ross Foundation of New York City, a family fund that has always done the British Crown's bidding in America. Investment banker and philanthropist Arthur Ross is a longstanding business partner and close personal friend of Hollinger Corp.'s Conrad Black; Ross was the gatekeeper for Sir Jimmy Goldsmith's move into the American corporate world; and, according to eyewitness accounts, Ross served for years as a key British intelligence figure in New York, receiving daily handwritten instructions from British economic warfare and propaganda czar Lord Beaverbrook, that were delivered by British consular officers.


Bio-Perversity: Another Bust-up Scenario

Prince Philip's beloved bioregions have become a "hot" item among American eco-nuts since his 1990 declaration at the Washington NACRE conference. Just last year, the Sierra Club, one of the largest and most politically influential environmental organizations in the United States, launched a massive project designed to carve up Canada and the United States into 21 ecoregions (another name for bioregions).

The Sierra Club's Critical Ecoregions Program was described at length in the March/April 1994 issue of the organization's magazine, *Sierra*. An introduction, headlined "Beyond the Boundaries," stated bluntly that the concept of "ecoregions" is incompatible with the nation-state. "Environmental problems are best addressed in the context of broad geographic areas defined by natural features rather than by political boundaries and borders," it asserted. The 21 ecoregions defined by the club's program "won't correspond to the common divisions—towns, counties, states, provinces—comprehensible to bureaucrats and politicians."

The introduction further declared that the Sierra Club "has wholeheartedly embraced ecoregionalism as a con-

Prince Philip's 21 ecoregions



- | | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 Alaska Rainforest | 12 Hawaii |
| 2 American Southeast | 13 Hudson Bay/James Bay Watershed |
| 3 Arctic | 14 Interior Highlands |
| 4 Atlantic Coast | 15 Mississippi Basin |
| 5 Boreal Forest | 16 Pacific Coast |
| 6 Central Appalachia | 17 Pacific Northwest |
| 7 Colorado Plateau | 18 Rocky Mountains |
| 8 Great Basin/High Desert | 19 Sierra Nevada |
| 9 Great Lakes | 20 Southern Appalachian Highlands |
| 10 Great North American Prairie | 21 Southwest Deserts |
| 11 Great Northern Forest | |

text for our work during the coming decades, and has devoted significant energy to recasting the maps of the United States and Canada in this new light. . . . We are moving beyond political boundaries, back to our ecological roots."

The Sierra Club has established task forces for each of the 21 ecoregions (these include the Sierra Nevada, Alaska Rainforest, the Atlantic Coast, etc.: see **Map**, p. 20), which are busily working up various initiatives to concretely define each ecoregion. Each task force has adopted an action agenda, which generally includes implementing laws or regulations that would set aside for "conservation purposes" huge tracts of land or water in its respective ecoregion.

For example, the agenda for the Pacific Coast ecoregion, which extends from Baja California up through British Columbia, calls for establishing "new wilderness areas in places such as Northern California's King Range and new

marine sanctuaries at Santa Monica Bay and in Washington's San Juan Islands" while also banning oil and gas leasing along the entire Pacific Coast.

The agenda for the Colorado Plateau area demands shifting the region's economy "away from resource exploitation [i.e., mining] to sustainable development;" enacting new legislation to "protect 5 million roadless acres in Utah" and pressing for "BLM [Bureau of Land Management] wilderness areas in Colorado and New Mexico;" as well as reforming the U.S. Department of Agriculture Wildlife Services Program "to prevent the wholesale slaughter of livestock predators—in other words, give free rein to coyotes and wolves to destroy cattle and sheep herds.

As these examples show, the real objective of the Sierra Club's Critical Ecoregions Program is to slam the brakes on economic development in huge chunks of the United States—as per Prince Philip's diatribe against growth—while at the same time furthering the British plot to balkanize the country.

The New Confederacy

Advocates of balkanizing the United States are not confined to the environmentalist fringe. An equally perfervid commitment to breaking up the nation can be found among the extreme anti-big-government crowd represented by Gingrich, Sen. Phil Gramm (R-Tex.), and their fellow Conservative Revolutionists. It should be pointed out here that, despite superficial appearances, the radical environmentalists have much in common with the radical right-wingers—witness Gingrich's support for the Endangered Species Act, for example. Also, early this year, the National Taxpayers Union, an organization founded and directed by James Dale Davidson, business partner of Lord William Rees-Mogg, stunned many traditional conservatives by sponsoring a joint press conference with the Sierra Club and Friends of the Earth to release a study, "Green Scissors," which called for the shutdown of every dirigist, high-technology program still run by the federal government. A few months later, the Heritage Foundation, the chief Washington, D.C. outpost for the Club of the Isles' Mont Pelerin Society, released its own blueprint for the take-down of the federal government, which was nearly identical to a simultaneously released study by the International Resource Institute, a leading ecologist think-tank.

One of the clearest cases in point of the "green and brown alliance" to bust up the United States, is the Rockford Institute. Located in Illinois, Rockford has functioned as one of the major bases for the Mont Pelerin Society's operations in the United States—as well as for the notion that the United States can no longer exist in its present form.

The February 1993 issue of *Chronicles*, a monthly magazine published under Rockford's banner, trumpeted this conclusion via a feature article by its editor, Thomas Fleming. Fleming has subsequently earned high praise

from Ambrose Evans-Pritchard of the *Sunday Telegraph*, who has emerged as one of the British oligarchy's main propaganda mouthpieces deployed against President Clinton (see article, p. 6).

Entitled "A League of Our Own," the article focused on the Lega Lombarda (Lombard League) and related political movements in Italy, whose main goal was to dismember Italy into several independent regions.

Praising the League, Fleming called for the establishment of a similar political movement in the United States. "There are only two alternatives for this continental empire *that has never been a real nation*: either we find the means to decentralize decision-making and restore authority to the old institutions of family and town and country (and even state), or else we lapse into a multifaceted civil war of blacks against Hispanics against whites against blacks against Jews" (emphasis added).

"The revolution," Fleming continued, "cannot be made overnight, and the first step would be the creation of a movement devoted to the long-range goals of political devolution, privatization (ours is not a free enterprise system), protection of the national interest in matters of immigration, trade, and foreign policy, and the reassertion of our old cultural identities as a European . . . and Christian nation. . . ."

"If there is no movement or party willing to embrace a Leghist [Lombard League] program," Fleming concluded, "then one needs to be formed, and if that is impossible, my advice is to stockpile ammunition and invest in bullet-proof doors and shutters."

Fleming's advocacy of a political party dedicated to the dissolution of the Union was nothing new. Previously, he had been a founder of the *Southern Partisan*, a magazine openly committed to the values of the ante-bellum South and the Confederacy.

The Rockford Institute is just one of many bastions of the Conservative Revolution which openly advocates "states' rights" or other "conservative" methods of dismembering the United States. Llewellyn Rockwell, president of the Ludwig von Mises Institute and a member of the Rockford Institute's Main Street Committee, openly espouses the revival of the Confederacy. In the June 1992 issue of *The Free Market*, the von Mises Institute's newsletter, Rockwell penned a column entitled "Secede?" in which he made the case for secession.

"When a famous conservative told me ten years ago that 'the U.S. is too big,' and only 'breaking it up into 35 different countries' would preserve a free and decent society, I was shocked. Today, leaving aside the exact number of successor states, I wonder if he wasn't right."

Charging that the U.S. "central government gets more tyrannical and expensive by the day," Rockwell answered his rhetorical question, "Is it time to think about bidding it adieu?" in the affirmative: "As long as the states are held under the federal thumb," he argued, "they will never be able to experiment with free markets. . . . Is secession the only hope for restoring freedom of all sorts? Perhaps, if we

are not content indefinitely to be a 'tractable people.' "

The same issue of *The Free Market* contained a front-page article praising the Confederate Constitution.

The 'Lebanonization' Recipe

Another British-authored scenario for the dismemberment of the United States is the "Lebanonization" option, in which the country disintegrates under the pressures of exploding non-white, non-western immigration.

That is the theme of *Alien Nation*, a new book by former British subject Peter Brimelow. Brimelow's "Lebanonization" thesis is predicated on the view, not only racist but simply untrue, that the United States "melting pot" cannot, under any circumstances, absorb the wave of mostly Third World immigrants which has come into the United States as a result of the 1965 rewrite of American immigration laws, because, unlike previous waves of immigrants, they share nothing in common with the cultural, racial, and social traditions of their adopted country.

Hailed by the leaders of the Conservative Revolution, as well as by the the major anti-immigration organizations, such as the outright nativist Federation for American Immigration Reform (FAIR), Brimelow's *Alien Nation* gleefully forecasts that the United States will fracture unless it tries to recapture its traditional British WASP cultural and demographic profile by terminating the hordes of Third World immigrants pouring over its borders, legally and illegally.

The United States is in the grip of an "ethnic revolution," Brimelow asserts, the consequences of which could be the "snuffing out of the American nation—like a candle in a gale." The explosion of Third World immigration and the growth of minority enclaves is driving white Americans from whole states and regions, and creating "communities as different from one another as any in the civilized world. They will verge on being separate nations."

Brimelow predicts that the very existence of these different communities will call into question the need for the U.S. government, by raising the "classic problem of federalism: Why should any one of them submit in a larger political unit to the majority when it shares nothing with that majority? Particularly if the community is being visibly taxed for others' benefit.

"All large political units will have difficulty containing these contradictions," he adds. "This will begin locally (Staten Island trying to leave New York City), proceed to the state level (the northern counties trying to leave California) . . . and eventually could appear nationally (the Pacific Northwest going off with an independent British Columbia and Alberta?)."

It is important to note here that, Brimelow's contentions notwithstanding, America has indeed functioned as a melting pot, and quite successfully for the most part. Therefore, for the "Lebanonization" scenario envisioned by *Alien Nation* to become "live," would require the deliberate stirring up of ethnic and racial tensions. That, of course, is something at which the British are past masters; and the

massive publicity accorded Brimelow's book signals that the British are preparing to trigger Lebanon-style ethnic strife within the United States. In fact, *Alien Nation's* racial fear-mongering is itself designed to help ignite the ethnic and cultural polarization the book predicts.

That is reinforced by Brimelow's pedigree: In addition to the fact that he was a British, then Canadian, subject before emigrating to the United States, Brimelow actively participates in the international networks behind both the Conservative Revolution, as well as the British-directed attacks on President Clinton.

A rabid free trader who favors across-the-board privatization and virtual elimination of government involvement in the economic and social life of its citizens, Brimelow is part of the Mont Pelerin Society apparatus in North America, enjoying strong backing from the Vancouver-based Fraser Institute, an offshoot of the Mont Pelerin's Atlas Foundation.

Brimelow's primary backer, however, is the leading publicist for the international oligarchy's Club of the Isles: Conrad Black, chief of the Hollinger Corp. *EIR* has documented that Black is one of the masterminds of the vicious slander campaign against President Clinton which the British have mounted to destroy his administration.

Not only is Black cited in all of Brimelow's books and articles as an avid supporter, Black has been identified as a leading financial angel for the English-only movement, which was founded in the United States and Canada to fuel religious, ethnic, and racial tensions. Through the Canada-based Northern Foundation, which lists Brimelow as its honorary chairman, the British-born "economist" maintains close working ties to a North America-wide string of anti-immigrant racist groups. *Alien Nation* follows up on a theme Brimelow developed in his 1986 book, *The Patriot Game*, which called for the integration of Canada and the United States under an "English only" loose confederation.

At the same time that he serves as the scion of a string of rabidly racist and secessionist causes, Conrad Black also enjoys the status of charter membership in Prince Philip's 1001 Nature Trust, the funding and policy-shaping arm of the WWF. If anyone personifies the convergence of the ecological-New Age with the Conservative Revolution, it is Conrad Black.

Black's frontman Brimelow, who is referred to as "the red-neck in the nice suit," is a fully integrated figure in the British financial establishment. A transplant to Canada who now lives in New York City, Brimelow graduated from the University of Sussex (an offshoot of the London Tavistock Institute) and received an MBA from Stanford University; he worked for a prominent Canadian securities brokerage house and has been an editor at the *Financial Post* (a joint venture of Hollinger and the *Financial Times*), *Macleans* magazine, *Barrons*, *Fortune*, and *Forbes*, where he is currently a senior editor under Mont Pelerin affiliated Malcolm Forbes, Jr.

Not surprisingly, Brimelow's U.S. networks include the Hoover Institution as well as the Rockford Institute; Brimelow has attended several of the latter's functions, and



Prince Philip at the National Press Club in Washington on May 18, 1990 preached that the United States should be carved up into "bioregions" where "religious communities" might combine together to plan programs of environmental action."

wrote an article for the June 1993 "Bosnia, U.S.A." cover story of Rockford's *Chronicles* which previewed *Alien Nation*. Another contributor to the cover story was Garrett Hardin, the infamous "lifeboat ethics" advocate and a board member of FAIR. His article called on Americans to become "rational" about immigration by disowning the "appalling advice of Emma Lazarus" in her poem ("Give us your tired, your poor; your huddled masses . . .") inscribed on the base of the Statue of Liberty.

Brimelow has ties to various nativist, anti-immigrant groups, both in Canada and the United States, among them, "U.S. English." One of that organization's leading officials, Dr. John Tanton, was embroiled in controversy in 1989 when a memo he wrote in 1986, predicting that apartheid would become inevitable in California by 2030 if non-white immigration continued, was leaked to the press. A past president of Zero Population Growth, Tanton was one of the founders of FAIR (with which Brimelow is also affiliated). In the 1980s, FAIR received \$370,000 from the pro-eugenics Pioneer Fund, which has financed research purporting to prove a positive link between race and criminality, and race and intelligence (i.e., blacks are crooks and stupid to boot).

Since the publication of *Alien Nation*, Brimelow has won praise from the *Sunday Telegraph's* chief Clinton-basher, Ambrose Evans-Pritchard, and, more recently, from GOP Presidential candidate Pat Buchanan, another admirer of the Confederacy.

Closely linked to Brimelow's Lebanonization prescrip-

tion, is the increasingly influential "chaos" school of political science, whose leading practitioner, Thomas F. Homer-Dixon, operates out of the University of Toronto.

The chaos theory garnered wide currency when the *Atlantic Monthly* devoted its February 1994 cover story to it under the title, "The Coming Anarchy." Written by Robert Kaplan, the story detailed a world falling inexorably into chaos: "Nations break up under the tidal flow of refugees from environmental and social disaster. As borders crumble, another type of boundary is erected—a wall of disease. Wars are fought over scarce resources, especially water, and war itself becomes continuous with crime, as armed bands of stateless marauders clash with the private security forces of the elites."

Although devoted primarily to the devolving situation in the Third World, the article warned that the United States would also be adversely affected by these trends. Garreau's *The Nine Nations of North America* "is more relevant now than when it was published, in 1981," Kaplan commented in the *Atlantic Monthly*.

"Indeed, it is not clear that the United States will survive the next century in exactly its present form," he wrote. "Because America is a multi-ethnic society, the nation-state has always been more fragile here than it is in more homogeneous societies like Germany and Japan. . . . 'Patriotism' will become increasingly regional as people in Alberta and Montana discover that they have far more in common with each other than they do with Ottawa or Washington, and Spanish-speakers in the Southwest discover a greater commonality with Mexico City."

Under the rubric of "Environmental Scarcities, State Capacity and Civil Violence," the "chaos theory" has become the focus of an ambitious project run jointly by the American Academy of Arts and Sciences and the Peace and Conflict Studies Program at the University of Toronto. The project, directed by Homer-Dixon, has received financial support from the Rockefeller Foundation and the Pew Charitable Trusts.

It would be wrong to presume that the United States is—as the Brimelows, Garreaus, and Prince Philips of the world propagandize—on the verge of bustup, chaos, and devolution. However, their written words serve as a testimonial to their commitment to hasten the day when the British Crown's 220-year dream of recolonize the United States becomes a reality.

In the short-term, this drive to throw the United States into racial, ethnic, religious, and regional chaos is very real. The Oklahoma City bombing can only be understood in the context of these secessionist rumblings from the Club of the Isles and the House of Windsor. So long as the British stir up such propaganda with the aim of instigating violent gang warfare against the federal government and the U.S. Constitution—whether it be in the form of radical ecologists or would-be Conservative Revolutionists-in-patriots'-clothing—the security of the United States will be jeopardized, the danger of new incidents of international terrorism on U.S. soil will continue, and the threat level against President Clinton will remain grave.